

## Trilateration using four Hydrophones and measured time delay

At this time, please do not distribute without permission.

For additional notes see Brian Moore      btmoore44@gmail.com

Hydrophones  $h_0$   $h_x$   $h_y$  and  $h_z$  detect a signal from a sound-pulse source, and compare the time differences between them to find the exact position of the device relative to  $h_0$ .

Ping source is at location  $(x,y,z)$

$h_0$  is at location  $(0,0,0)$  (the origin)

$h_x$  is at location  $(\delta,0,0)$

$h_y$  is at location  $(0,\epsilon,0)$

$h_z$  is at location  $(0,0,\zeta)$

When a signal is detected, each hydrophone creates a time stamp,  $t_0$   $t_x$   $t_y$  and  $t_z$ .  $t_0$  will act as the common reference at the origin. Thus the time differences measured are:

$$\Delta t_x = t_0 - t_x, \quad \Delta t_y = t_0 - t_y, \quad \Delta t_z = t_0 - t_z$$

The three time-difference measurements are multiplied by the speed of sound ( $c_s$ ) to determine the difference in distance from the signal source for each hydrophone.

$$\Delta t_x * c_s = \Delta x, \quad \Delta t_y * c_s = \Delta y, \quad \Delta t_z * c_s = \Delta z$$

$\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$ , and  $\Delta z$  will be the measured values used in the calculation. Thus the final derivation should be in terms of  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$ , and  $\Delta z$ , and any derivative values of the three.

$\rho_0$  indicates the absolute difference from  $h_0$  to the signal source at  $(x,y,z)$

$$\rho_0 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$

$\rho_x$ ,  $\rho_y$ , and  $\rho_z$  indicate the distance from their respective hydrophones to the source:

$$\rho_x = \sqrt{(x-\delta)^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad \rho_y = \sqrt{x^2 + (y-\epsilon)^2 + z^2}, \quad \rho_z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z-\zeta)^2}$$

The differences in distance then, each are the difference between  $\rho_0$  and the distance from the hydrophone's location to the signal source.

$$\Delta x = \rho_0 - \rho_x = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - \sqrt{(x-\delta)^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$

$$\Delta y = \rho_0 - \rho_y = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - \sqrt{x^2 + (y-\epsilon)^2 + z^2}$$

$$\Delta z = \rho_0 - \rho_z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z-\zeta)^2}$$

With these three known comparisons,  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$ ,  $\Delta z$ , and the three known hydrophone locations  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\zeta$  along their respective axes, we can solve for exact values of  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  – thus locating the signal source.

Using  $h_x$  and its measurements we will derive a formula for  $x$ , which will be identical to the derivations for  $y$  and  $z$  with their respective measurements.

$$\Delta x = \rho_0 - \rho_x \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta x = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - \sqrt{(x-\delta)^2 + y^2 + z^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0^2 - \rho_x^2 &= (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) - ((x-\delta)^2 + y^2 + z^2) \\ \rho_0^2 - \rho_x^2 &= x^2 - (x-\delta)^2 \\ \rho_0^2 - \rho_x^2 &= x^2 - x^2 + 2\delta x - \delta^2 \\ \rho_0^2 - \rho_x^2 &= 2\delta x - \delta^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\rho_0^2 - \rho_x^2 = (\rho_0 + \rho_x) * (\rho_0 - \rho_x) \quad (4)$$

$$\rho_0 - \rho_x = (2\delta x - \delta^2) / (\rho_0 + \rho_x) \quad (5)$$

$$\rho_x = \rho_0 - \Delta x \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta x = (2\delta x - \delta^2) / (2\rho_0 - \Delta x) \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\rho_0\Delta x - \Delta x^2 &= 2\delta x - \delta^2 \\ 2\delta x &= 2\rho_0\Delta x - \Delta x^2 + \delta^2 \\ x &= (-\Delta x^2 + 2\rho_0\Delta x + \delta^2) / (2\delta) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We can duplicate (1) through (8) to derive similar expressions for  $y$  and  $z$ .

$$y = (-\Delta y^2 + 2\rho_0\Delta y + \epsilon^2) / (2\epsilon) \quad (9)$$

$$z = (-\Delta z^2 + 2\rho_0\Delta z + \zeta^2) / (2\zeta) \quad (10)$$

We discover that  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  do not depend solely on the difference in distance measured at their own hydrophones, but also on  $\rho_0$ , the absolute distance away from the ping source, which is itself a magnitude of the combination of coordinates  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ . In other words, they are inter-related (as would be expected).

It therefore becomes necessary to find an expression for  $\rho_0$  in terms of  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$ ,  $\Delta z$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\zeta$ . Luckily,  $\rho_0^2$  is a function of  $x^2$ ,  $y^2$ , and  $z^2$ , and we have an equation relating  $x$  to  $\rho_0$  as well as similar equations for  $y$  and  $z$ .

$$x^2 = [ (-\Delta x^2 + 2 \rho_0 \Delta x + \delta^2) / (2\delta) ]^2 \quad (11)$$

$$x^2 = [ (\Delta x^4 - 2 \rho_0 \Delta x^3 - \Delta x^2 \delta^2) + (-2 \rho_0 \Delta x^3 + 4 \rho_0^2 \Delta x^2 + 2 \rho_0 \Delta x \delta^2) + (-\Delta x^2 \delta^2 + 2 \rho_0 \Delta x \delta^2 + \delta^4) ] / (4\delta^2)$$

$$x^2 = (4\rho_0^2 \Delta x^2 - 4 \rho_0 \Delta x^3 + 2\rho_0 \Delta x \delta^2 + \Delta x^4 - 2 \Delta x^2 \delta^2 + \delta^4) / (4\delta^2)$$

$$x^2 = \rho_0^2 * [ 4\Delta x^2 / 4\delta^2 ] + \rho_0 * [ (-4\Delta x^3 + 4\Delta x \delta^2) / 4\delta^2 ] + [ (\Delta x^2 - \delta^2)^2 / 4\delta^2 ]$$

$$x^2 = \rho_0^2 * [ (\Delta x / \delta)^2 ] + \rho_0 * [ (\Delta x / \delta^2) * (\delta^2 - \Delta x^2) ] + [ ( (\Delta x^2 - \delta^2) / 2\delta )^2 ] \quad (12)$$

Generating similar results for y and z provide:

$$y^2 = \rho_0^2 * [ (\Delta y / \epsilon)^2 ] + \rho_0 * [ (\Delta y / \epsilon^2) * (\epsilon^2 - \Delta y^2) ] + [ ( (\Delta y^2 - \epsilon^2) / 2\epsilon )^2 ] \quad (13)$$

$$z^2 = \rho_0^2 * [ (\Delta z / \zeta)^2 ] + \rho_0 * [ (\Delta z / \zeta^2) * (\zeta^2 - \Delta z^2) ] + [ ( (\Delta z^2 - \zeta^2) / 2\zeta )^2 ] \quad (14)$$

Then we can combine (12), (13), and (14) to solve for  $\rho_0$  entirely in terms of measured values.

$$x^2 = \rho_0^2 * [ (\Delta x / \delta)^2 ] + \rho_0 * [ (\Delta x / \delta^2) * (\delta^2 - \Delta x^2) ] + [ ( (\Delta x^2 - \delta^2) / 2\delta )^2 ]$$

$$x^2 = \rho_0^2 * [x_a] + \rho_0 * [x_b] + [x_c]$$

$$x_a = (\Delta x / \delta)^2, \quad x_b = (\Delta x / \delta^2) * (\delta^2 - \Delta x^2), \quad x_c = ( (\Delta x^2 - \delta^2) / 2\delta )^2 \quad (15)$$

$$y_a = (\Delta y / \epsilon)^2, \quad y_b = (\Delta y / \epsilon^2) * (\epsilon^2 - \Delta y^2), \quad y_c = ( (\Delta y^2 - \epsilon^2) / 2\epsilon )^2 \quad (16)$$

$$z_a = (\Delta z / \zeta)^2, \quad z_b = (\Delta z / \zeta^2) * (\zeta^2 - \Delta z^2), \quad z_c = ( (\Delta z^2 - \zeta^2) / 2\zeta )^2 \quad (17)$$

$$\rho_0^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$$

$$\rho_0^2 = \rho_0^2 * [x_a + y_a + z_a] + \rho_0 * [x_b + y_b + z_b] + [x_c + y_c + z_c]$$

$$0 = \rho_0^2 * [x_a + y_a + z_a - 1] + \rho_0 * [x_b + y_b + z_b] + x_c + y_c + z_c \quad (18)$$

With Equation 18 we get a representation of  $\rho_0^2$  in a quadratic format, with coefficients that are all functions of known values. Solving the quadratic equation yields two values of  $\rho_0$ . Selecting the appropriate value (not covered here) then allows you to plug in the value of  $\rho_0$  into equations (8) (9), and (10). Evaluating those equations yields the values of x, y, and z.

Three hydrophones and a depth sensor:

In the current arrangement, a limit of 3 hydrophones requires the use of a depth sensor to supplement the missing information. By measuring the absolute depth of the sub, and knowing the fixed depth of the ping source, the value  $z$  is their difference. As seen below, the equation is easily modified to accommodate a lack of a time-difference measurement along an axis, in exchange for knowing the absolute value of the coordinate.

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0^2 &= x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \\ \rho_0^2 &= \rho_0^2*[xa + ya] + \rho_0*[xb+yb] + [xc + yc] + z^2 \\ 0 &= \rho_0^2*[xa + ya - 1] + \rho_0*[xb+yb] + [xc + yc] + z^2\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

As equation 19 shows, the  $\rho_0^2$  and  $\rho_0$  coefficients decrease while the constant (significantly) increases, balancing out the quadratic equation and outputting the same values when evaluated.

Additional notes:

It is worth noting that  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$  and  $\Delta z$  all have a maximum value of  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$  and  $\zeta$  respectively; when the source exists straight along the  $x$ ,  $y$  or  $z$  axis. As the values of  $x_a$ ,  $x_b$ , and  $x_c$  are all composed of functions of  $\Delta x$  divided by at least the same order of  $\delta$ , or differences of  $\delta$  and  $\Delta x$ , all absolute values of  $x_a$ ,  $x_b$ , and  $x_c$  are going to be less than 1.  $y$  and  $z$  follow the same pattern, meaning that the coefficients for the quadratic equation are all the sum of three values less than one. Thus,  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  will all be relatively small.

Additionally the values of  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$  and  $\Delta z$  are inter-related and geometrically constrained. An increase in one value can only come at the cost of a decrease in another value, and vice versa. For instance, you could not have a ping source that exists directly along two axes, so you can never have  $\Delta x = \delta$  and  $\Delta y = \epsilon$  simultaneously.

The exception to this is found when  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are all between 0 and  $\delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\zeta$  respectively. If the ping source exists at the origin (0,0,0), ie the location of hydrophone  $h_0$ , then  $\Delta x = -\delta$ ,  $\Delta y = -\epsilon$ , and  $\Delta z = -\zeta$  simultaneously. Likewise, if the ping source was at the centroid of the tetrahedron generated by the four hydrophones, then  $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 0$ .

However, with the physical constraints of the system, the ping source will never fall within the tetrahedron, permitting potentially useful exploitation of these mathematical relationships without concern for the exceptional cases.